UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/517,642	10/07/2005	Hans Jorgen Dalum	378/9-2008	3059
²⁸¹⁴⁷ WILLIAM J. S.	7590 08/28/200 APON E	EXAMINER		
	JDOL SAPONE P.C.	FERGUSON, MICHAEL P		
714 COLORAE BRIDGE PORT	= =		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3679	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/28/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/517,642	DALUM, HANS JORGEN		
Examiner	Art Unit		
MICHAEL P. FERGUSON	3679		

	MICHAEL P. FERGUSON	3679	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>20 August 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 (periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidaveal (with appeal fee) in compliance	it, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailir (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH	ig date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply oric than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 5. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	:	•	
 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) 	·	•	_
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 11-22. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		iii be efficied and an e.	унапашоп оп
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bubecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fails	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attach	ed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	it does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowan	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s).13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/Michael P. Ferguson/ Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3679		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Examiner has fully considered Attorney's arguments in regards to the rejection of Benson (US 4,135,372). However, upon further consideration, Examiner still believes the rejection to be proper.

As to claims 11 and 21, Attorney argues that:

Benson does not disclose a rotatable link comprising a pipe having a rim including a pair of opposed openings therein, the pipe providing a mechanical tension which is transferred as compressive forces in the longitudinal direction of the attachment means to the second object.

Examiner disagrees. As to claims 11 and 21, Benson discloses a rotatable link comprising a pipe (40,42) having a rim (56,58) including a pair of opposed openings therein (element (40,42) comprises a tubular or cylindrical part (42), and thus constitutes a pipe), the pipe providing a mechanical tension which is transferred as compressive forces in the longitudinal direction of the attachment means (36,38) to the second object (20,22,12) (spring washers (44,46) exert a compressive force on second object (20,22). Clearly, an opposing tension force must exist within material of pipe rim (56,58) in order to provide a resistance force to enable such relative compression force; Figures 1-3).